arXiv:1612.09271v1 [math.AG] 29 Dec 2016

THE BIMEROMORPHIC KODAIRA PROBLEM FOR COMPACT KÄHLER THREEFOLDS OF KODAIRA DIMENSION 1

by

Hsueh-Yung Lin

Résumé. — We prove that every compact Kähler threefold of Kodaira dimension 1 has a bimeromorphic model with at worst terminal singularities which admits a locally trivial algebraic approximation.

1 Introduction

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. The so-called Kodaira problem asks whether X is deformation equivalent to some smooth projective variety. This problem has a positive answer for compact Kähler surfaces due to Kodaira **[20]**. Later on N. Buchdahl showed with a different method that every compact Kähler surface has an arbitrarily small deformation to some projective surface. For threefolds, the Kodaira problem is still widely open but see **[31, 13]** for positive partial results. For dimension 4 and on, the Kodaira problem is known to be false after C. Voisin constructed the first series of examples of compact Kähler manifolds which do not have the homotopy type of a smooth projective variety **[34]**. A weaker question that we can naturally ask is the following.

***Problem 1.1* (Bimeromorphic Kodaira problem)**. — *Does a compact Kähler manifold admit a bimeromorphic model which deforms to a projective variety ?*

This problem was again answered negatively by C. Voisin in any even dimension ≥ 8 **[35]**. The examples that she constructed to answer Problem 1.1 are uniruled. For non-uniruled Kähler manifolds, the bimeromorphic Kodaira problem is still open. The following conjecture would be a positive answer to Problem 1.1 for non-uniruled varieties.

***Conjecture 1.2* (Peternell)**. — *Every minimal compact Kähler variety with nef canonical line bundle has an arbitrarily small deformation to a projective variety. In particular if we assume the minimal model program (MMP for short) for compact Kähler manifolds, then the bimeromorphic Kodaira problem has a positive answer for non-uniruled manifolds.*

Now we focus on compact Kähler threefolds. Since the MMP is known to be true for compact Kähler threefolds [(1)], Conjecture 1.2 readily implies a positive answer to the bimeromorphic Kodaira problem for non-uniruled threefolds. A non-uniruled compact Kähler threefold X has nonnegative Kodaira dimension

1. We refer to the book **[24]** for a survey in the projective case. The non-projective part is due to Campana, Höring, and Peternell, *cf.* the survey **[18]** and the references therein.

$\kappa(X)$. For threefolds of Kodaira dimension 0, Conjecture 1.2 was solved by P. Graf [**13**]. In this article, we answer the bimeromorphic Kodaira problem for threefolds with $\kappa(X) = 1$.

Theorem 1.3. — *Any compact Kähler threefold of Kodaira dimension* 1 *is bimeromorphic to a Kähler variety with at worst terminal singularities which admits an arbitrarily small locally trivial deformation to a projective variety.*

Remark 1.4. — Contrary to the case of Kähler manifolds, the property of being Kähler for singular Kähler varieties is not preserved in general under small deformations [**27**]. We do not know whether every fiber in such a deformation in Theorem 1.3 is Kähler.

By results of Kollár and Mori [**23**], we will see that Peternell's Conjecture for compact Kähler varieties of dimension 3 and Kodaira dimension 1 is in fact a corollary of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.5. — *Any minimal compact Kähler variety of dimension* 3 *with* $\kappa = 1$ *admits an algebraic approximation.*

As for threefolds of other Kodaira dimension, recall that if $\kappa(X) = 3$ then X is already projective. If $\kappa(X) = 2$, then X is bimeromorphic to an elliptic threefold over a projective surface as an output of the MMP and the abundance conjecture for Kähler threefolds [**6**]. The study of algebraic approximation for these varieties is a work in progress of B. Claudon and A. Höring.

Let us now outline the structure of the proof of Theorem 1.3, which coincides roughly with the structure of the present article. Given a compact Kähler threefold X with $\kappa(X) = 1$, by running the MMP for X we can assume that X is minimal. By the abundance conjecture, some multiple of K_X defines a surjective map $f : X \to B$ to a curve whose general fiber F is either a K3 surface, a 2-torus, an Enriques surface, or a bielliptic surface. In order to prove Theorem 1.3 for the fibration $f : X \to B$, we distinguish three situations according to the properties that F has.

First of all when F is algebraic with vanishing irregularity (so F is either a K3 surface or an Enriques surface), Fujiki already showed that X is Moishezon [**12**], hence bimeromorphic to a projective variety. This part will be recalled in Section 3. In the case where F is non-algebraic, the proof of Theorem 1.3 will be covered in Section 4. For the remaining case, namely when F is an abelian surface or a bielliptic surface, we will prove the following more general result which implies Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.6. — *Let X be a (possibly singular) compact Kähler variety admitting a surjective map $f : X \to B$ to a curve B whose general fiber F is an abelian variety. Let G be a finite group acting equivariantly on $f : X \to B$ such that a general fiber of $X/G \to B/G$ is smooth. There exists a strongly locally trivial deformation*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{X}/G & \longrightarrow & U \times (B/G) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow_{\mathrm{pr}_1} & \\ U & & \end{array}$$

of the quotient $X/G \to B/G$ with fixed target B/G such that the subset of U parameterizing fibrations with a multisection is dense for the Euclidean topology.

We will prove Theorem 1.6 in Section 5 and 6. The structure of the proof of Theorem 1.6 is inspired by the proof of [**8**, Theorem 1.1], but is technically more involved due to the presence of singular fibers. The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be concluded in Section 7 together with some of its corollaries including Corollary 1.5.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Deformations of complex varieties

In this article we will deal with deformations of complex varieties. These varieties are possibly singular and a flat deformation of them does not necessarily preserve the topological type. The following property will guarantee that the topological type of the fibers are preserved in a family.

***Definition 2.1* (Locally trivial families).** — *A family $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to U$ of varieties is said to be* locally trivial*, if for all $t \in U$ and $p \in \mathscr{X}_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$, there exist neighborhoods $U_p \subset \mathscr{X}_t$ and $\mathscr{U}_p \subset \mathscr{X}$ of p such that $\mathscr{U}_p$ is isomorphic over $\pi(\mathscr{U}_p)$ to $\pi(\mathscr{U}_p) \times U_p$.*

For most of the time we will study deformations of a morphism $f : X \to B$ leaving the target fixed. Here is a stronger notion of local triviality of the deformation of X.

***Definition 2.2* (Strongly locally trivial families).** — *Let $f : X \to B$ be a morphism and*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{X} & \xrightarrow{q} & U \times B \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\mathrm{pr}_1} & \\ U & & \end{array}$$

a deformation of $f : X \to B$ with fixed target. We say that π is strongly locally trivial *if for all $t \in U$, there exists an open cover $\{B_i\}$ of B and a neighborhood $U_t \subset U$ of t such that the restriction of π to each $(\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ q)^{-1}(B_i) \cap \pi^{-1}(U_t)$ is isomorphic to the projection $f_t^{-1}(B_i) \times U_t \to U_t$, where $f_t : \mathscr{X}_t \to B$ denotes the morphism parameterized by $t \in U$.*

Roughly speaking, a strongly locally trivial family is a locally trivial family such that we can choose U_p to be of the form $f_t^{-1}(B_i)$ in Definition 2.1. Now we come to the notion of algebraic approximation.

***Definition 2.3* (Algebraic approximation).** — *Let X be a complex variety. We say that X has a algebraic approximation if there exists a (flat) family of complex varieties $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to B$ together with a converging sequence (t_n) in B such that $\pi^{-1}(t_n)$ is projective for all n and $\pi^{-1}(o) \simeq X$ where $o := \lim_{n\to\infty} t_n$. The algebraic approximation is said to be locally trivial if the family of complex varieties in the definition is locally trivial.*

2.2 Minimal model program for Kähler threefolds

Let X be a compact Kähler threefold. By **[16]**, if the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X)$ of X is non-negative, then X is bimeromorphic to a minimal model $X_{\min}$, which is a normal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial compact Kähler variety with at worst terminal singularities whose canonical divisor $K_{X_{\min}}$ is nef. Moreover, by the abundance conjecture for Kähler threefolds proven in **[6]**, $mK_{X_{\min}}$ is base-point-free for some positive integer m. The complete linear system $|mK_{X_{\min}}|$ defines a morphism $f : X_{\min} \to \mathbf{P}H^0(X_{\min}, mK_{X_{\min}})$. Assume that m is sufficiently large and divisible so that $X_{\mathrm{can}} := f(X_{\min})$ has dimension $\kappa(X)$ and the fibers of f are connected. We call such a fibration the *canonical fibration* associated to the minimal model $X_{\min}$. The base X_{can} of the canonical fibration is of general type with at worst canonical singularities. A general fiber F of f has at worst terminal singularities and by the adjunction formula, K_F is torsion.

Thus for compact Kähler threefolds of Kodaira dimension 1, we have the following description up to bimeromorphic modifications.

***Proposition* 2.4.** — *Every compact Kähler threefold of Kodaira dimension* 1 *is bimeromorphic to a compact Kähler variety X with at worst terminal singularities admitting a surjective morphism $f : X \to B$ to a smooth projective*

curve of genus ≥ 2 *whose general fiber is either a K3 surface, an Enriques surface, an abelian surface, or a bielliptic surface.*

2.3 Kulikov models

A degeneration of surfaces is a flat family $\pi : X \to \Delta$ of complex compact surfaces over a disc Δ which is smooth over $\Delta^\times := \Delta - \{o\}$. Applying the semi-stable reduction theorem to π, there exists a finite cyclic cover $r : \Delta \to \Delta$ ramified only at o such that the base-change of $\pi : X \to \Delta$ by r is a semi-stable degeneration $\pi' : X' \to \Delta$ up to a bimeromorphic modification of the central fiber. If the smooth fibers of π have trivial canonical line bundle, by Kulikov-Persson-Pinkham's theorem **[25, 30]** we can further assume that $K_{X'}$ is trivial. Such a degeneration $X' \to \Delta$ is also called a Kulikov model of π. The classification of the central fiber under this assumption (see **[29]** or **[11]**) implies the following result.

Theorem 2.5. — *Let $\pi : X \to \Delta$ be a degeneration of K3 surfaces or complex tori. The central fiber of a Kulikov model of π is either a smooth surface or a union of uniruled surfaces.*

3 Case where F is an algebraic surface with vanishing irregularity

We recall the following theorem due to A. Fujiki **[12**, Proposition 7] and provide a sketch of the proof for completeness. In particular, Fujiki's theorem implies that every compact Kähler threefold whose canonical fibration has general fiber either an Enriques surface or an algebraic K3 surface, is projective.

Theorem 3.1. — *Let $f : X \to B$ be a compact Kähler fibration over an algebraic variety. If a general fiber of f is an Enriques surface or an algebraic K3 surface, then any desingularization of X is projective.*

Proof. — Since a general fiber of $f : X \to B$ is smooth and Kähler, f factorizes through the relative Albanese map $\mathrm{alb} : X \dashrightarrow A$ (uniquely defined up to a bimeromorphic transformation of A) **[4]** :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \overset{\mathrm{alb}}{\dashrightarrow} & A \\ & {\scriptstyle f}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & \\ & B & \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

For a Zariski open $U \subset B$ over which f is smooth, the restriction of $\mathrm{alb} : X \dashrightarrow A$ to $X_U := f^{-1}(U)$ coincides with the usual relative Albanese map of the smooth family $X_U \to U$. Since a general fiber F of f is a surface with vanishing irregularity, it is contracted by alb, so η is bimeromorphic. As F is algebraic, the map $\mathrm{alb} : X \dashrightarrow A$ and thus f are Moishezon [(2)] by **[12**, theorem 2]. Finally since B is algebraic, we conclude that X is bimeromorphic to a projective variety. □

Remark 3.2. — Theorem 3.1 has a simpler proof when the general fiber F of $f : X \to B$ is an Enriques surface. Since F does not have any global holomorphic form and since $\dim B = 1$, we have $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}}) = 0$ for every desingularization $\widetilde{X}$ of X. Hence $\widetilde{X}$ is projective.

2. A meromorphic map is called *Moishezon* if it is bimeromorphic to a projective morphism

4 Case where F is a non-algebraic surface

Let us first recall the following theorem of F. Campana.

***Theorem 4.1* (Campana [5]).** — *Let $f : X \to B$ be a compact Kähler fibration whose general fiber is a K3 surface or a complex torus. Suppose that one of the smooth fibers F of f is non-algebraic, then the restriction map $H^0(X, \Omega^2_X) \to H^0(F, \Omega^2_F)$ is non-zero.*

Lemma 4.2. — *Let $f : X \to B$ be as in Theorem 4.1. There exists a (ramified) cyclic cover $r : \widetilde{B} \to B$ and a bimeromorphic modification of fibers*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_B \widetilde{B} & \dashrightarrow & \widetilde{X} \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow_{\tilde{f}} & \\ & \widetilde{B} & \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

such that $\tilde{f}$ is smooth.

Proof. — Let $\Sigma \subset B$ be the finite subset of B parameterizing singular fibers of f and suppose that $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. For each $p \in \Sigma$, there exists a cyclic cover $\widetilde{\Delta}_p \to \Delta_p$ (of order $r_p < \infty$) of a small disc $\Delta_p \subset B$ around p such that $X \times_B \widetilde{\Delta}_p \to \widetilde{\Delta}_p$ admits a bimeromorphic modification of the central fiber to a Kulikov model $f_p : \widetilde{X}_p \to \widetilde{\Delta}_p$ (see 2.3).

Suppose that f_p is not smooth. Then by Theorem 2.5, up to further modifications of the central fiber, F_p is a union of uniruled surfaces $\cup_i S_i$. Let F_t be a general fiber of f_p. Consider the map $F_t \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}_p \to X$ which induces by pullback

$$i^* : H^2(X, \mathbf{C}) \to H^2(\widetilde{X}_p, \mathbf{C}) \to H^2(F_t, \mathbf{C}). \tag{4.2}$$

Since f_p is a semi-stable degeneration, we have $H^2(\widetilde{X}_p, \mathbf{C}) \simeq H^2(F_p, \mathbf{C})$, and morphism (4.2) with $H^2(\widetilde{X}_p, \mathbf{C})$ replaced by $H^2(F_p, \mathbf{C})$ is a composition of morphisms of mixed Hodge structures. As X is smooth, we have the factorization

$$i^* : H^2(X, \mathbf{C}) \to W_0 H^2(F_p, \mathbf{C}) \to H^2(F_t, \mathbf{C})$$

where $W_0 H^2(F_p, \mathbf{C})$ denotes the pure part of the mixed Hodge structure on $H^2(F_p, \mathbf{C})$. Since $W_0 H^2(F_p, \mathbf{C})$ equals the image of $\oplus_i H^2(\widetilde{S}_i, \mathbf{C})$ in $H^2(F_p, \mathbf{C})$ where $\widetilde{S}_i$ is a desingularization of i and since $p_g(\widetilde{S}_i) = 0$, we deduce that $W_0 H^2(F_p, \mathbf{C})$ and hence $\mathrm{Im}(i^*)$ have trivial $H^{2,0}$-part. The latter contradicts Theorem 4.1 implying that $i^* : H^0(X, \Omega^2_X) \to H^0(F_t, \Omega^2_{F_t})$ is non-zero. Therefore f_p is smooth for all $p \in \Sigma$.

Now let $d = \mathrm{lcm}_{p \in \Sigma}(r_p)$. Since $g(B) \geq 2$ and $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$, by Riemann's existence theorem there exists a finite cover $r : \widetilde{B} \to B$ of degree d whose restriction to a neighborhood of p is a cyclic cover ramified at p. Hence by replacing fibers of $X \times_B \widetilde{B} \to \widetilde{B}$ over $r^{-1}(\Sigma)$ by their Kulikov models, we obtain a smooth morphism $\tilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$ which is a bimeromorphic modification of fibers of $X \times_B \widetilde{B} \to \widetilde{B}$. □

Campana also showed in *loc. cit.* that the conclusion of 4.1 implies that f is isotrivial.

***Theorem 4.3* (Campana [5]).** — *Let $f : X \to B$ be a compact Kähler fibration whose general fiber is a K3 surface or a complex torus. Suppose that X contains a smooth fiber F of f such that the restriction map $H^0(X, \Omega^2_X) \to H^0(F, \Omega^2_F)$ is non-zero, then f is isotrivial.*

Let $\tilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$ be the smooth fibration as in Lemma 4.2 and let $\widetilde{B}^\times \to B^\times$ be the étale part of the cyclic cover $\widetilde{B} \to B$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is isotrivial by 4.3, the cyclic group $G := \pi_1(B^\times)/\pi_1(\widetilde{B}^\times) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/d\mathbf{Z}$ acts equivariantly on

$\tilde{f}^{\times} : \tilde{f}^{-1}(\widetilde{B}^{\times}) \to \widetilde{B}^{\times}$ by monodromy transformations. Since $\tilde{f}$ is smooth, the $\tilde{f}^{\times}$-equivariant G-action extends to an equivariant holomorphic action on $\tilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$.

Lemma 4.4. — *The quotient $\widetilde{X}/G$, which is bimeromorphic to X, has at worst terminal singularities.*

Let us recall the following result stated in [**17**, Lemma 15.1.4], which is also true for complex 2-tori.

Lemma 4.5. — *Let S be a K3 surface or a complex 2-torus and f an automorphism of S of finite order r preserving the holomorphic volume form. Around each fixed point z of f, there exist local coordinates (z_1, z_2) centered at z and a primitive r-th root of unity λ such that $f(z_1, z_2) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda^{-1} z_2)$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.4. — The singularities of $\widetilde{X}/G$ are supported on the image of points $p \in \widetilde{X}$ fixed by some element in G. In particular, $\mathrm{Sing}\left(\widetilde{X}/G\right) \subset f^{-1}(\mathrm{Fix}_G(B)) = f^{-1}(\Sigma)$.

Let $t \in \widetilde{\Sigma} := r^{-1}(\Sigma)$ and $S_t := \tilde{f}^{-1}(t)$. For $x \in S_t$, let (z_1, z_2, z_3) be local coordinates around $x \in \widetilde{X}$ such that the first projection coincides with the restriction of $\tilde{f}$. Since finite group actions on a manifold are linearizable, up to changing the local coordinates we can assume that $g(z_1) = e^{2\pi i/d} z_1$ for some generator $g \in G$.

Assume that x is fixed by g^k for some $0 < k < d$ and that k is the largest integer satisfying this property. These assumptions imply that $d = kp$ for some prime number p. Let $G_p < G$ be the subgroup generated by g^k. Since the action of g^k on S_t preserves the holomorphic volume form, up to changing the local coordinates we can assume by Lemma 4.5 that $g^k(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (e^{2\pi i/p} z_1, \lambda z_2, \lambda^{-1} z_3)$, where $\lambda = e^{2\pi i q/p}$ for some $0 < q < p$. Thus the image $\bar{x}$ of x in $\widetilde{X}/G_p$ is a terminal singularity by [**22**, Theorem 3.21]. Finally as the action of G/G_p on the orbit of a neighborhood of $\bar{x}$ is free, we deduce that the image of x in $\widetilde{X}/G$ has the same singularity type as $\bar{x}$, which is terminal.

□

We also recall for the reader's convenience the density criterion proven by P. Graf [**13**], generalizing M. Green's criterion [**1**, Proposition 1], [**33**, Proposition 5.20] to the setting of deformations of varieties with a finite group action.

Theorem 4.6 **([13**, Proposition 8.1, 8.2, Theorem 9.1**])**. — *Let $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to B$ be a family of compact Kähler manifolds over a smooth base together with a fiberwise effective action of a finite group G.*

i) The quotient family $\mathscr{X}/G \to B$ is locally isotrivial.

ii) If furthermore a fiber $X = \pi^{-1}(b)$ satisfies the property that the composition of the Kodaira-Spencer map and the contraction with some G-invariant Kähler class $[\omega]$

$$\mu_{[\omega]} : T_{B,b} \xrightarrow{KS} H^1(X, T_X) \xrightarrow{\smile[\omega]} H^2(X, T_X \otimes \Omega_X) \to H^2(X, \mathscr{O}_X)$$

is surjective, then there exists a sequence of points in B parameterizing algebraic members in the quotient family $\mathscr{X}/G \to B$ which converges to b.

Proposition 4.7. — *Let X be a compact Kähler threefold admitting a fibration structure $f : X \to B$ whose general fiber is a non-algebraic K3 surface or a 2-torus. There exists a bimeromorphic model X' of X with terminal singularities admitting a locally trivial algebraic approximation.*

Proof. — It suffices to show that $\widetilde{X}$ has an arbitrarily small deformation preserving the G-action to some projective variety, so that $\widetilde{X}/G$, which is bimeromorphic to X and has at worst terminal singularities by Lemma 4.4, has a locally trivial (by Theorem 4.6) algebraic approximation.

When the general fiber S is a 2-torus, the fact that $\widetilde{X}$ has an algebraic approximation preserving the G-action is a special case of [**8**, Theorem 1.1].

Now we assume that S is a K3 surface. Since $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$ is smooth and isotrivial, the fundamental group $\widetilde{B}$ acts on a fiber S by the monodromy action. As $\widetilde{X}$ is Kähler, by restricting a global Kähler from to S, the global invariant cycle theorem implies the existence of a Kähler class $[\omega] \in H^2(S, \mathbf{C})$ fixed by $\pi_1(\widetilde{B})$. Accordingly, since $\mathrm{Aut}_0(S) = \{\mathrm{Id}\}$, the group $\pi_1(\widetilde{B})$ acts as a finite group on S by [**26**, Proposition 2.2]. Hence up to taking a base change of $\tilde{f}$ by a finite étale cover of $\widetilde{B}$, we can assume that $\widetilde{X} = S \times \widetilde{B}$ and that $\tilde{f}$ is the second projection. By making further base change by the Galois closure of $\widetilde{B} \to B$, we can assume that $\widetilde{B} \to B$ is Galois. Let G still denote the corresponding Galois group of $\widetilde{B} \to B$ which acts equivariantly on $\tilde{f} : S \times \widetilde{B} \to \widetilde{B}$.

The G-equivariant action on $\tilde{f}$ induces a G-action on S by monodromy transformations. Since $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \to H^0(S, \Omega_S^2)$ is surjective, this G-action on S preserves the holomorphic symplectic form by the global invariant cycle theorem.

By [**28**, Section 5.1], the universal deformation space of S preserving the symplectic G-action can be identified with an open subset U of $H^1(S, T_S)^G$ and the Kodaira-Spencer map of the deformation of S is the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow H^1(S, T_S)$. The holomorphic symplectic 2-form σ on S gives rise to an isomorphism $T_S \simeq \Omega_S^1$ and since G preserves σ, we have the isomorphism $H^1(S, T_S)^G \simeq H^1(S, \Omega_S)^G$. Accordingly, the image of the G-invariant Kähler class $[\omega] \in H^1(S, \Omega_S)^G$ under the map $\mu_{[\omega]}$ defined in Theorem 4.6 with the identification $T_{B,o} \simeq H^1(S, T_S)^G \simeq H^1(S, \Omega_S)^G$ is $[\omega]^2 \neq 0 \in H^2(S, \Omega_S^2) \simeq H^2(S, \mathscr{O}_S)$. Thus $\mu_{[\omega]}$ is surjective and hence there exists a deformation $\mathscr{S} \to \Delta$ of S preserving the G-action such that the subset of Δ parameterizing algebraic members is dense by Theorem 4.6.

Let $\mathscr{X} := (\mathscr{S} \times \widetilde{B})/G$. By construction, $\mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ is a deformation of $\widetilde{X}/G$ which is bimeromorphic to X. This deformation is locally trivial by Theorem 4.6. Each fiber $\mathscr{X}_t$ over $t \in \Delta$ is isomorphic to the finite quotient $(\mathscr{S}_t \times \widetilde{B})/G$, so is projective whenever $\mathscr{S}_t$ is. Hence we conclude that $\widetilde{X}/G$ is limit of projective varieties.

□

5 Generalized Jacobian fibration over a curve

5.1 Variation of Hodge structures over a curve

We will use Zucker's result on the variation of Hodge structures (VHS) over a curve [**37**] in the proof of Theorem 1.6, which we recall now. Let $B^\times$ be a smooth curve and $\mathbf{H}$ a $\mathbf{Z}$-variation of Hodge structure of weight m over $B^\times$. The Hodge bundle $\mathscr{H} := \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{B^\times}$ is endowed with a Hodge filtration $\mathscr{F}^\bullet\mathscr{H}$ and the Gauss-Manin connection

$$\nabla : \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H} \otimes \Omega_{B^\times}.$$

Let $j : B^\times \hookrightarrow B$ be the smooth compactification of $B^\times$. Assume that the local monodromy of $\mathbf{H}$ around each $p \in \Sigma := B - B^\times$ is quasi-unipotent, then $\mathscr{H}$ has a canonical extension $\bar{\mathscr{H}}$ together with a filtration $\mathscr{F}^\bullet\bar{\mathscr{H}}$ and a connection

$$\bar{\nabla} : \bar{\mathscr{H}} \to \bar{\mathscr{H}} \otimes \Omega_B(\log \Sigma)$$

extending $\mathscr{F}^\bullet\mathscr{H}$ and ∇ respectively [**10**, II.5.3]. The quasi-unipotence of the monodromy action is satisfied whenever the VHS comes from a family of projective varieties.

In [**37**], Zucker shows that $H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H})$ has a natural Hodge structure (where the Hodge filtration on $H := H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H}) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ will be denoted by $F^\bullet H$) of weight $m + 1$.

Since sections of $\mathbf{H}$ are flat with respect to the Gauss-Manin connection, there is an inclusion $\mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow \ker(\nabla)$. This inclusion extends over B to

$$j_*\mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{H}_h := \ker \bar{\nabla}. \tag{5.1}$$

The connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfies Griffiths' transversality

$$\bar{\nabla}\left(\mathscr{F}^p\mathscr{H}\right) \subset \mathscr{F}^{p-1}\mathscr{H} \otimes \Omega^1_B(\log \Sigma)$$

so that $\bar{\nabla}$ a map

$$\bar{\nabla}_p : \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^p\mathscr{H} \to \left(\mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^{p-1}\mathscr{H}\right) \otimes \Omega^1_B(\log \Sigma).$$

for each p. We define

$$(\mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^p\mathscr{H})_h := \ker\left(\bar{\nabla}_p\right).$$

The following result can be found in the proof of [**37**, Theorem 9.2] as a simple corollary of [**37**, Proposition 9.1].

***Lemma 5.1* (Zucker).** — *There is an isomorphism*

$$H/F^pH \simeq H^1(B, (\mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^p\mathscr{H})_h).$$

What will be useful for our purpose is the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. — *Assume that* $\mathbf{H}$ *is of weight* $2g-1$ *and concentrated in bi-degrees* $(g, g-1)$ *and* $(g-1, g)$*. Then the image of*

$$H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{Q}) \to H^1(B, \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H})$$

induced by $j_*\mathbf{H} \to \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H}$ *is dense in* $H^1(B, \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H})$*.*

Proof. — Since $\mathbf{H}$ is concentrated in bi-degrees $(g, g-1)$ and $(g-1, g)$, we have $\mathscr{F}^{g-1}\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}$, so $\bar{\nabla}_g = 0$ and

$$(\mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H})_h = \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H}.$$

Thus by Lemma 5.1, we have $H/F^gH \simeq H^1(B, \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H})$. Since $H = H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H})$ is a pure Hodge structure of weight $2g$ concentrated in bi-degrees $(g+1, g-1)$, (g, g), and $(g-1, g+1)$, the natural map $H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H}) \otimes \mathbf{R} \to H/F^gH \simeq H^1(B, \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H})$ is surjective. Corollary 5.2 now follows from the density of $H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{Q}) = H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ in $H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H}) \otimes \mathbf{R}$.

□

5.2 Generalized Jacobian fibration

In order to lighten the notation, we set $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H}$, and similarly $\bar{\mathscr{E}} := \mathscr{H}/\mathscr{F}^g\mathscr{H}$. The VHS's that we will deal with in the proof of Theorem 1.6 will satisfy the assumption of Corollary 5.2. For these VHS's, recall that the sheaf of sections of the intermediate jacobian fibration J associated to $\mathbf{H}$ is defined by $\mathscr{J} := \mathscr{E}/\mathbf{H}$. Similarly, the canonical extension of $\mathscr{J}$ is defined by $\bar{\mathscr{J}} := \bar{\mathscr{E}}/j_*\mathbf{H}$, called the generalized Jacobian fibration [**14**, Chapter XIV].

For VHS's coming from a family of varieties, there is another way to define $\bar{\mathscr{J}}$ due to Deligne, El Zein, and Zucker, and we recall the construction following [**14**, Chapter XV]. Let $f : X \to B$ be a morphism between compact Kähler manifolds with $\dim B = 1$. Assume that fibers of f are normal crossing divisors. Let $j : B^\times \hookrightarrow B$ be a Zariski open subset parameterizing smooth fibers of f and set $\imath : X^\times := f^{-1}(B^\times) \hookrightarrow X$. Let $f^\times : X^\times \to B^\times$ denote the restriction of f to $X^\times$. We assume that the local monodromy action on $R^{2g-1}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}$ around each point in $\Sigma := B - B^\times$ is quasi-unipotent.

Let $D := f^{-1}(\Sigma)$. For $\mathbf{H} := R^{2g-1}f_*^{\times}\mathbf{Z}/\text{torsion}$, we have

$$\bar{\mathscr{H}} \simeq R^{2g-1}f_*\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D)/\text{torsion}$$

and

$$\mathscr{F}^p\bar{\mathscr{H}} \simeq R^{2g-1}f_*F^p\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D)/\text{torsion},$$

where $F^{\bullet}\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D)$ is the naïve filtration on $\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D)$. Let

$$\sigma_p\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D) := \Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D)/F^p\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D).$$

In the bounded derived category of sheaves of abelian groups over B, we define the morphism

$$\Phi^p : R\imath_*\mathbf{Z} \to R\imath_*\mathbf{C} \simeq \Omega^{\bullet}_X(\log D) \to \Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D) \to \sigma_p\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D)$$

and define the relative Deligne complex to be

$$\underline{D}_{X/B}(p) := \text{Cone}(\Phi^p)[-1].$$

Applying Rf_* to $\underline{D}_{X/B}(p)$ induces the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to R^{q-1}f_*\sigma_p\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D) \to R^qf_*\underline{D}_{X/B}(p) \to R^q(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z} \to \cdots \tag{5.2}$$

The generalized Jacobian fibration is defined to be

$$\bar{\mathscr{J}} := \text{coker}\left(R^{2g-1}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z} \to R^{2g-1}f_*\sigma_g\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D)\right).$$

For $p = g$, breaking up the long exact sequence (5.2) at $R^{2g-1}f_*\sigma_g\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/B}(\log D) \simeq \bar{\mathscr{E}}$ yields

$$0 \to \text{Im}\left(R^{2g-1}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\psi} \bar{\mathscr{E}}\right) \to \bar{\mathscr{E}} \to \bar{\mathscr{J}} \to 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Lemma 5.3. — *We have the isomorphism*

$$j_*\mathbf{H} \simeq \text{Im}\left(\psi : R^{2g-1}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z} \to \bar{\mathscr{E}}\right).$$

Proof. — Since the natural morphism $\phi : R^{2g-1}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z} \to j_*\mathbf{H}$ is surjective (*cf.* the proof of [**14**, Chapter XV, Proposition 7]), it suffices to show that

$$\ker(\phi) = \ker(\psi). \tag{5.4}$$

To this end, we take $p \in B$ and $\Delta \subset B$ an arbitrarily small disc centered at p. Let $X_\Delta := f^{-1}(\Delta)$, $X_p := f^{-1}(p)$, $\Delta^{\times} := \Delta - \{p\}$, and $X_\Delta^{\times} := X_\Delta - X_p$. If $p \in B^{\times}$, then $\phi(X_\Delta)$ is an isomorphism and $\psi(X_\Delta) : H^{2g-1}(X_\Delta, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^{2g-1}(X_p, \mathbf{C})/F^gH^{2g-1}(X_p, \mathbf{C})$ is injective. If $p \in \Sigma$, then on the one hand,

$$\alpha \in \ker\left(\phi(X_\Delta) : H^{2g-1}(X_\Delta^{\times}, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^0(\Delta^{\times}, R^{2g-1}f_*\mathbf{Z})\right)$$

if and only if α vanishes in $H^{2g-1}(X_s, \mathbf{Z}) \subset H^{2g-1}(X_s, \mathbf{C})/F^gH^{2g-1}(X_s, \mathbf{C})$ when restricted to a general fiber of $X_\Delta \to \Delta$. On the other hand, since $\bar{\mathscr{E}}$ is locally free [**14**, Chapter VII, Corollary of Proposition 2],

$$\alpha \in \ker\left(\psi(X_\Delta) : H^{2g-1}(X_\Delta^{\times}, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^0(\Delta, \bar{\mathscr{E}})\right)$$

if and only if α vanishes in a general fiber $\bar{\mathscr{E}}_s \simeq H^{2g-1}(X_s, \mathbf{C})/F^gH^{2g-1}(X_s, \mathbf{C})$ of $\bar{\mathscr{E}}$. Therefore (5.4) is proven. □

By Lemma 5.3, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to j_*\mathbf{H} \to \bar{\mathscr{E}} \to \bar{\mathscr{J}} \to 0. \tag{5.5}$$

giving back the original definition of $\bar{\mathscr{J}}$.

Similarly, breaking up (5.2) at $R^{2g}f_*\underline{D}_{X/B}(g)$ yields the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \bar{\mathscr{J}} \to R^{2g}f_*\underline{D}_{X/B}(g) \to H^{g,g}(X/B) \to 0 \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$H^{g,g}(X/B) := \ker\left(R^{2g}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z} \to R^{2g}f_*\sigma_g\Omega^\bullet_{X/B}(\log D)\right).$$

Lemma 5.4. — *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0\left(B, R^{2g}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z}\right) & & \xrightarrow{\quad d_2 \quad} & & H^2\left(B, R^{2g-1}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z}\right) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ H^0(B, H^{g,g}(X/B)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}}) & \xrightarrow{c} & H^2(B, j_*\mathbf{H}) \end{array} \tag{5.7}$$

is commutative, where the first row is the d_2 map in the second page of the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p\left(B, R^q(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z}\right) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X^\times, \mathbf{Z})$$

and the morphisms in the second row are the connecting morphisms in the long exact sequences induced by (5.6) *and* (5.5) *respectively.*

Proof. — It suffices to apply Lemma 8.1 proven in the appendix to the short exact sequence of bounded complexes representing the distinguished triangle

$$Rf_*\underline{D}_{X/B}(g) \to Rf_*R\imath_*\mathbf{Z} \to Rf_*\sigma_g\Omega^\bullet_{X/B}(\log D) \to Rf_*\underline{D}_{X/B}(g)[1] \tag{5.8}$$

and note that $j_*\mathbf{H} \simeq \mathrm{Im}\left(R^{2g-1}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z} \to \bar{\mathscr{E}}\right)$ by Lemma 5.3. □

In the situation that we will be interested in the next paragraph, g will be the relative dimension of X/B.

Lemma 5.5. — *The morphism $H^0\left(B, H^{g,g}(X/B)\right) \to H^0\left(B, R^{2g}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z}\right)$ in the commutative diagram* (5.7) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. — By definition of $H^{g,g}(X/B)$, it suffices to show that the sheaf $R^{2g}f_*\sigma_g\Omega^\bullet_{X/B}(\log D)$ is zero. Let $\mathbf{V} := R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}$. The sheaf $\bar{\mathscr{V}} := R^{2g}f_*\Omega^\bullet_{X/B}(\log D)$ is the canonical extension of $\mathscr{V} := \mathbf{V}\otimes\mathscr{O}_{B^\times}$, so $R^{2g}f_*\sigma_g\Omega^\bullet_{X/B}(\log D) = \bar{\mathscr{V}}/F^g\bar{\mathscr{V}}$ is locally free [**14**, p.130]. Since X/B is of relative dimension g, we have $\mathscr{V}/F^g\mathscr{V} = 0$, hence $\bar{\mathscr{V}}/F^g\bar{\mathscr{V}} = 0$. □

5.3 Bimeromorphic J-torsors

In this paragraph, we will focus on the case where $f : X \to B$ is a bimeromorphic J-torsor defined as follows.

Definition 5.6. — *Let $J \to B^\times$ be a Jacobian fibration over a curve. A* bimeromorphic J-torsor *is a morphism $f : X \to B$ satisfying the following properties.*

i) The restriction of f to $f^{-1}(B^\times)$ is a J-torsor.

ii) The map f has local sections. Namely, there exists an open cover $\{B_i\}$ of B such that f has a section σ_i over B_i for every i.

iii) The map f is locally projective. In particular, around each $p \in \Sigma = B - B^\times$, the local monodromy action on $H^\bullet(F, \mathbf{C})$ for a general fiber F of f is quasi-unipotent.

iv) Fibers of $f : X \to B$ are normal crossing divisors.

Suppose that $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} := \Sigma \neq \emptyset$. By assumption, there exists an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of B such that $p_i \in U_j$ if and only if $i = j$ and that $f_i : X_i := f^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i$ has a section $\sigma_i : U_i \to X_i$ for all i. We can always suppose that U_i and $U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j$ are simply connected and $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k = \emptyset$ for all i, j, k, so that the sheaf cohomology on B coincides with the Čech cohomology for the open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$. For each i, the fibration $X_i \to U_i$ is isomorphic to the restriction to U_i of the jacobian fibration J. These fibrations can be glued together along $X_{ij} := f^{-1}(U_{ij}) \to U_{ij}$ with the identifications $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$ over U_{ij} for all $i \neq j$, so the fibration thus obtained $\bar{J} \to B$ has a global section σ and is a compactification of $J \to B^\times$. The fibration $\bar{J} \to B$ is called the Jacobian fibration associated to $X \to B$.

The collection of sections of $f : X \to B$ over each U_{ij} for $i \neq j$ defined by $\eta_{ij} := \sigma_{i|U_{ij}} - \sigma_{j|U_{ij}} \in \mathscr{J}(U_{ij})$ satisfies the cocycle condition. Thus $(\eta_{ij})_{i,j}$ defines an element $\eta \in H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})$. The following lemma shows another way to define η, and that η is independent of the choices of local sections σ_i.

Lemma 5.7. — *The morphism*

$$\mathbf{Z} \simeq H^0(B^\times, R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^0(B^\times, H^{g,g}(X^\times/B^\times)) \to H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J}) \tag{5.9}$$

induced by the short exact sequence (5.6) *restricted to* $B^\times$*, maps* $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ *to* η *considered as an element in the image of the injective map* $H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J}) \hookrightarrow H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J})$.

Proof. — We already saw the isomorphism $H^0(B^\times, R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^0(B^\times, H^{g,g}(X^\times/B^\times))$ in Lemma 5.5.

The injectivity of $H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J}) \to H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J})$ is proven as follows. Since we have the exact sequence

$$H^1_\Sigma(j_*\mathscr{J}) \to H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J}) \to H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J}),$$

it suffices to show that $H^1_\Sigma(j_*\mathscr{J}) = 0$. Recall that there is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(B, \mathscr{H}^q_\Sigma(j_*\mathscr{J})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_\Sigma(j_*\mathscr{J})$$

so we only need to see that $\mathscr{H}^0_\Sigma(j_*\mathscr{J}) = \mathscr{H}^1_\Sigma(j_*\mathscr{J}) = 0$. The latter vanishing can be deduced from the exact sequence [**15**, Corollary 1.9]

$$0 \to \mathscr{H}^0_\Sigma(j_*\mathscr{J}) \to j_*\mathscr{J} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_*(j_*\mathscr{J})_{|B^\times} \to \mathscr{H}^1_\Sigma(j_*\mathscr{J}) \to 0.$$

By [**8**, Remark 2.3], the element $1 \in \mathbf{Z} \simeq H^0(B^\times, R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z})$ maps to the element $\eta^\times \in H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J})$ representing the $\mathscr{J}$-torsor $X^\times \to B^\times$ which is also represented by the cocycle $(\eta_{ij})_{i,j}$. Thus the image of 1 in $H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J})$ coincides with the image of η in $H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J})$.

□

Lemma 5.8. — *The morphism* $H^{2g}(X^\times, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^0\left(B^\times, R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}\right)$ *is surjective.*

Proof. — Since $B^\times$ is affine and $\dim B^\times = 1$, we have $E_2^{p,q} = H^p\left(B^\times, R^qf^\times_*\mathbf{Z}\right) = 0$ whenever $p \geq 2$. So the Leray spectral sequence associated to $f^\times$ degenerates at E_2. Hence $H^{2g}(X^\times, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^0\left(B^\times, R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}\right)$ is surjective. □

The morphisms in Lemma 5.7 and 5.8 are part of the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{2g}(X^\times, \mathbf{Z}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^0\left(B^\times, R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}\right) & \longrightarrow & H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J}) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J}) \\ & & H^0\left(B, R^{2g}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z}\right) & \longrightarrow & H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}}) \end{array} \tag{5.10}$$

We choose $\alpha \in H^{2g}(X^\times, \mathbf{Z})$ which maps to $\eta \in H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J})$. Let $\bar{\eta}$ denote the image of α in $H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}})$.

Lemma 5.9. — *Let*

$$c : H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}}) \to H^2(B, j_*\mathbf{H})$$

denote the map induced by the the short exact sequence (5.5)*. Then* $c(\bar{\eta}) = 0$.

Proof. — By Lemma 5.4 and 5.5, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{2g}(X^\times, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^0\left(B, R^{2g}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z}\right) & \xrightarrow{d_2} & H^2\left(B, R^{2g-1}(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z}\right) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}}) & \xrightarrow{\quad c \quad} & H^2(B, j_*\mathbf{H}) \end{array} \tag{5.11}$$

The first row of (5.11) is exact. Indeed, since $\dim B = 1$, we have $E_2^{p,q} = H^p\left(B, R^q(f\circ\imath)_*\mathbf{Z}\right) = 0$ for all $p \geq 3$. So $E_\infty^{0,2g} = E_3^{0,2g} = \ker(d_2 : E_2^{0,2g} \to E_2^{2,2g-1})$. Lemma 5.9 now follows easily from the exactness of the first row of (5.11) and the definition of $\bar{\eta}$. □

From the short exact sequence (5.5) and its restriction to $B^\times$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{E}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(B^\times, \mathscr{J}) & & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E}) & \xrightarrow{\exp} & H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J}) & & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\exp}} & H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}}) & \xrightarrow{c} & H^2(B, j_*\mathbf{H}) \end{array} \tag{5.12}$$

where the last row is exact. By Lemma 5.9, there exists $\bar{\beta} \in H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}})$ such that $\overline{\exp}(\bar{\beta}) = \bar{\eta}$. Let β be the image of $\bar{\beta}$ in $H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E})$, so that $\exp(\beta) = \eta$.

Lemma 5.10. — *Let* $V \subset H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E})$ *be a linear subspace.*

i) There exists a strongly locally trivial family over V *of bimeromorphic* J*-torsors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{X} & \xrightarrow{q} & V \times B \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\mathrm{pr}_1} & \\ V & & \end{array} \tag{5.13}$$

such that $\pi^{-1}(0)$ *is the* J*-torsor* $X \to B$ *and that for all* $p \in V$*, the bimeromorphic* J*-torsor* $f_p : \mathscr{X}_p := \pi^{-1}(p) \to B$ *represents the element* $\eta + \exp(p) \in H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})$.

ii) If $\eta + \exp(p)$ *is torsion, then* $\mathscr{X}_p \to B$ *has a multisection.*

Proof. — The restriction of

$$\exp_\eta : H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E}) \to H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J}) \tag{5.14}$$

$$p \mapsto \eta + \exp(p) \tag{5.15}$$

to V defines an element

$$\eta^V \in \mathrm{Map}\left(V, H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})\right) \simeq H^0(V, \mathscr{O}_V) \otimes H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J}) \simeq H^1(V \times B, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_*\mathscr{J})$$

where $\mathrm{Map}\left(V, H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})\right)$ denotes the space of holomorphic maps between V and $H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})$. Since the open cover $\{V \times U_i\}$ satisfies that $V \times U_i$ and $V \times U_{ij}$ are simply connected and $V \times U_{ijk} = \emptyset$ for all i, j, k, the class η^V is represented by a 1-cocycle $(\eta^V_{ij})_{i,j}$ with

$$\eta^V_{ij} \in \Gamma(V \times U_{ij}, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_*\mathscr{J}) \simeq \mathrm{Map}\left(V, \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathscr{J})\right).$$

The 1-cocycle $(\eta^V_{ij})_{i,j}$ defines the transition maps $X_{ij} \times V \to X_{ij} \times V$ which are translations by η^V_{ij} and glue $(X_i \times V \to U_i \times V)_i$ together to form a family $\mathscr{X} \to V \times B$. Since $q^{-1}(V \times U_i) \simeq X_i \times V$ for all i, the family $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to V$ is strongly locally trivial.

By construction, $\exp_\eta(p) = \eta^V(p)$ is represented by the bimeromorphic J-torsor $\mathscr{X}_p \to B$, which proves i). Finally, if $m(\eta + \exp(p)) = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, then the multiplication-by-m map is well defined on the smooth part of $\mathscr{X}_p \to B$ [**8**, p.5] and defines a generically finite meromorphic map $\mu : \mathscr{X}_p \dashrightarrow J_p$ over B where $J_p \to B$ is the Jacobian fibration associated to $\mathscr{X}_p \to B$. The preimage of a global section of $J_p \to B$ under μ is a multisection of $\mathscr{X}_p \to B$.

□

5.4 Bimeromorphic J-torsors with an equivariant finite group action

Let G be a finite group acting equivariantly on the bimeromorphic J-torsor $X \to B$. The group G acts naturally on the sheaves $j_*\mathbf{H}$, $j_*\mathscr{E}$, $j_*\mathscr{J}$, $\bar{\mathscr{E}}$, and $\bar{\mathscr{J}}$. Also every map in the last sections involving these sheaves is G-equivariant.

Definition 5.11. — *A G-equivariant bimeromorphic J-torsor $X \to B$ is a bimeromorphic J-torsor $X \to B$ with a G-equivariant action together with a G-invariant open cover $\{B_i\}$ of B and a G-invariant collection of local sections $\{\sigma_i\}$, one over each B_i.*

By definition, a G-equivariant bimeromorphic J-torsor $X \to B$ can be represented by a G-invariant cocycle (η_{ij}). In particular, its image $\eta \in H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})$ is also G-invariant. In this section we will first prove that some multiple of η has a G-invariant lifting $\beta^G \in H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}})$ and then a G-equivariant version of Lemma 5.10. First we recall the following elementary result which will be used several times in the proofs.

Lemma 5.12. — *If $\phi : M \to N$ is a morphism of G-modules where G is a finite group, then for all $y \in \phi(M) \cap N^G$, there exists $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $my \in \phi(M^G)$.*

Lemma 5.13. — *There exists $\bar{\eta}^G \in H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}})^G$ such that $\bar{\eta}^G$ lifts to some G-invariant element $\beta^G \in H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}})^G$ and that the image of $\bar{\eta}^G$ in $H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})^G$ is $m\eta$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$.*

Proof. — Since Diagram 5.10 and in particular the surjective morphism $H^{2g}(X^\times, \mathbf{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0\left(B^\times, R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ is G-equivariant, Lemma 5.12 implies that there exists $m \in H^0\left(B^\times, R^{2g}f^\times_*\mathbf{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ such that m is the image of some G-invariant element $\alpha^G \in H^{2g}(X^\times, \mathbf{Z})^G$. Let $\bar{\eta}^G \in H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}})^G$ be the image of α^G under the map in Diagram 5.10. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.9, we have $c(\bar{\eta}^G) = 0$, so $\bar{\eta}^G \in \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\exp}) \cap H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}})^G$. Applying again Lemma 5.12, we conclude that up to replacing $\bar{\eta}^G$ by some multiple, there exists $\bar{\beta}^G \in H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}})^G$ such that $\overline{\exp}(\bar{\beta}^G) = \bar{\eta}^G$. □

Lemma 5.14. — *Let $V \subset H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E})^G \subset H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E})$ be a linear subspace. There exists a strongly locally trivial family over V of bimeromorphic J-torsor as in Lemma 5.10 with the extra property that the G-action extends to an*

equivariant action on $\mathscr{X} \to V \times B$ with G acting trivially on V. Moreover, the quotient family

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{X}/G & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & V \times (B/G) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow_{\mathrm{pr}_1} & \\ V & & \end{array}$$

is also strongly locally trivial.

Proof. — Let $\eta^V \in H^1(V \times B, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_* \mathscr{J})$ be as in the proof of Lemma 5.10. By definition, it lies inside the G-invariant subspace $H^1(V \times B, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_* \mathscr{J})^G$.

Lemma 5.15. — *η^V can be represented by a G-invariant cocycle $(\eta_{ij}^V)_{ij}$.*

Proof. — We already saw that since $X \to B$ is a G-equivariant bimeromorphic J-torsor, $\eta \in H^1(B, j_* \mathscr{J})$ and hence $\mathrm{pr}_2^* \eta \in H^1(V \times B, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_* \mathscr{J})$ is represented by a G-invariant cocycle. So it suffices to show that $\eta^V - \mathrm{pr}_2^* \eta = \exp_{|V}$ can be represented by a G-invariant cocycle. Here $\exp_{|V}$ is considered as an element of $H^1(V \times B, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_* \mathscr{J})$ through the isomorphism $\mathrm{Map}(V, H^1(B, j_* \mathscr{J})) \simeq H^1(V \times B, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_* \mathscr{J})$.

We can assume that the G-invariant open cover $\{U_i\}$ of B computes the sheaf cohomology of B and that for each i, either $g(U_i) = U_i$ or $g(U_i) \cap U_i = \emptyset$ for all $g \in G$. Since G is a finite group, by Lemma 5.12 some non-zero multiple $k \cdot \exp_{|V}$ is represented by a G-invariant cocycle

$$\eta_{ij}^k \in \Gamma(V \times U_{ij}, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_* \mathscr{J}) \simeq \mathrm{Map}\left(V, \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathscr{J})\right).$$

If $k : V \to V$ denotes the multiplication by k, it follows that the cocycle $(\eta_{ij}^k \circ k^{-1})_{ij}$, which represents $\exp_{|V}$ $\in H^1(V \times B, \mathrm{pr}_2^* j_* \mathscr{J})$, is also G-invariant. □

With the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 5.10, we use the cocycle $(\eta_{ij}^V)_{ij}$ to glue the pieces $X_i \times V \to U_i \times V$ and form a family $\mathscr{X} \to V \times B$. As $(\eta_{ij}^V)_{ij}$ is G-invariant, the pull-back of the G-action to $X_i \times V \to U_i \times V$ for each i glues together to an equivariant G-action on $\mathscr{X} \to V \times B$ acting trivially on V.

Finally since $\bar{q}^{-1}(V \times \bar{U}_i) \simeq (X_i/G) \times V$ for each i where $\bar{U}_i$ is the image of U_i in B/G, the family $\mathscr{X}/G \to V$ is strongly locally trivial.

□

6 Case where F is an abelian surface or a bielliptic surface

6.1 Algebraic approximation of abelian fibrations over a curve

Proof of Theorem 1.6. — First we reduce to the situation where $f : X \to B$ is a G-equivariant locally projective bimeromorphic torsor (see Definition 5.6, 5.11).

Let $\{\bar{\Delta}_i\}$ be a finite collection of sufficiently small discs covering B/G. By [**3**, Corollaire of Théorème 2], since fibers of $\bar{f} : X/G \to B/G$ are algebraic, the restriction of $\bar{f}$ to $\bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}_i)$ is Moishezon. Thus for each i, there exists a connected multisection $\bar{Z}_i \subset \bar{X}_i := \bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}_i)$ of $\bar{f}_{|\bar{X}_i}$. Up to taking a finer cover, we assume that the multisection $\bar{Z}_i$ is étale over $\bar{\Delta}_i - \{o_i\}$ where o_i is the center of $\bar{\Delta}_i$. Also if $q : B \to B/G$ denotes the quotient, we can assume that $q^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}_i)$ is a disjoint union of discs. When i varies, these discs form a G-invariant cover of B denoted by $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in I}$. Pulling back $\bar{Z}_i$ to $X_i := f^{-1}(\Delta_i)$, we obtain a G-invariant collection of connected local multisections $\{Z_i\}$, one over each Δ_i and only ramified at the center $p_i \in \Delta_i$.

Let $\Sigma := \{p_i \mid i \in I\} \subset B$ be the set of centers of the Δ_i's. Let d_i denote the degree of $Z_i \to \Delta_i$ and $d := \mathrm{lcm}\{d_i\}$. We take a cyclic cover $r : \widetilde{B} \to B$ of degree d branched along Σ and let $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$ denote the base change of f by r. Let $\widetilde{X}' \to \widetilde{X}$ be the normalization of $\widetilde{X}$ in the Galois closure of the field extension $\mathbf{C}(\widetilde{X})/\mathbf{C}(X/G)$. Finally let

$$\widetilde{X}' \xrightarrow{f'} \widetilde{B}' \to \widetilde{B}$$

be the Stein factorization. By construction, the general fiber of f' is still a (connected) abelian variety and the Galois group G' associated to $\widetilde{X}' \to X/G$ acts equivariantly on the morphism $f' : \widetilde{X}' \to \widetilde{B}'$ whose quotient coincides with $X/G \to B/G$. Also, the G-invariant collection of multisections $Z_i \subset X_i \to \Delta_i$ pulls back to a G'-invariant collection of local sections of $f' : \widetilde{X}' \to \widetilde{B}'$ for each i. To sum up, up to replacing $f : X \to B$ by $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$ and G by G', we may and will assume that $f : X \to B$ has a G-invariant collection of local sections.

Note that since $\dim B = 1$, the map $X/G \to B/G$ has a strongly locally trivial deformation with fixed target B/G if and only if $X'/G \to B/G$ also has one, where X' is any G-equivariant bimeromorphic modifications of X which is isomorphic outside of some fibers of $X \to B$. Therefore taking G-equivariant bimeromorphic modifications of fibers of X does not affect the conclusion of the proposition. Up to taking a minimal G-equivariant resolution of singularities, which only modifies fibers of $f : X \to B$ since f is generically smooth, we can assume that X is smooth and that fibers of f are normal crossing divisors. Finally since f is locally Moishezon and X is a Kähler manifold, f is locally projective [**7**, Theorem 10.1]. Therefore we may assume that $f : X \to B$ is a G-equivariant locally projective bimeromorphic torsor whose total space X is smooth.

Now we can apply results in Section 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 to $f : X \to B$. By Lemma 5.13, the element $\eta \in H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})$ representing f multiplied by some $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ lifts to some $\bar{\beta}^G \in H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}})^G$. Let $\nu : H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}}) \to H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E})$ and

$$V := \nu\left(H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}})^G\right).$$

Let $\beta^G \in V$ be the image of $\bar{\beta}^G$.

Lemma 6.1. — *Let $W := H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H})^G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and $\phi : H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H})_{\mathbf{Q}} \to H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E})$ be the map induced by $\mathbf{H} \to \mathscr{E}$. The image $\phi(W)$ is dense in V.*

Proof. — Since $\phi(W)$ is dense in $\phi(W) \otimes \mathbf{R}$, it suffices to show that $\phi(W) \otimes \mathbf{R} = V$. Note that there is a G-equivariant factorization of the map ϕ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H})_{\mathbf{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{E}) \\ & {\scriptstyle\mu}\searrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle\nu} & \\ & H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}}) & \end{array}$$

On the one hand by Corollary 5.2, the map μ has dense image. On the other hand since G is finite, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\mu)^G = \mu(W)$. Hence

$$\phi(W) \otimes \mathbf{R} = \nu(\mu(W)) \otimes \mathbf{R} = \nu(\mathrm{Im}(\mu)^G) \otimes \mathbf{R} = \nu(\mathrm{Im}(\mu)^G \otimes \mathbf{R}) = \nu(H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}})^G) = V.$$

□

The family of bimeromorphic J-torsors $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to V$ as defined in Lemma 5.10 is a strongly locally trivial deformation of $X \to B$ together with an extended G-action by Lemma 5.14 and furthermore, the quotient

family

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{X}/G & \longrightarrow & V \times (B/G) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow_{\mathrm{pr}_1} & \\ V & & \end{array}$$

is strongly locally trivial.

By the exact sequence

$$H^1(B, j_*\mathbf{H}) \to H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}}) \xrightarrow{\overline{\exp}} H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{J}}),$$

$\overline{\exp}(\mu(W))$ lies in the torsion part of $H^1(B, \bar{\mathscr{E}})$. So $\exp(\phi(W)) \subset H^1(B, j_*\mathscr{J})$ also consists of torsion elements by the lower left square of the commutative diagram (5.12). Therefore by Lemma 5.10, the subset

$$T := \phi(W) - \frac{\beta^G}{m} \subset V$$

parameterizes bimeromorphic J-torsors $\mathscr{X}_p \to B$ and hence $\mathscr{X}_p/G \to B/G$ with a multisection, which is dense in $\phi(W) \otimes \mathbf{R} = V$ for the Euclidean topology by Lemma 6.1.

□

6.2 When F is a bielliptic surface

Corollary 6.2. — *Let X be a minimal Kähler variety of dimension 3 and let $f : X \to B$ be the morphism defined by mK_X for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. Assume that the general fiber F is a bielliptic surface, then $f : X \to B$ has a strongly locally trivial algebraic approximation.*

Proof. — As $mK_X = f^*L$ for some ample line bundle L, some section of mK_X defines a cyclic cover $\widetilde{X} \to X$ of degree m branched along smooth fibers. Moreover since $K_X|_F \simeq K_F$ and mK_F is trivial, the pre-image of a general fiber of f under the covering map is a disjoint union of abelian surfaces. So the general fiber of the Stein factorization $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$ of the composition map $\widetilde{X} \to X \to B$ is an abelian surface and the cyclic group G of order m acts equivariantly on $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$. Therefore by Theorem 1.6, there exists an arbitrarily small strongly locally trivial deformation of $\widetilde{X}/G \simeq X \to \widetilde{B}/G \simeq B$ to some projective variety.

□

7 Proof of Theorem 1.3 and corollaries

Proof of Theorem 1.3. — Let X_0 be a compact Kähler threefold of Kodaira dimension 1. By Proposition 2.4, X_0 is bimeromorphic to some compact Kähler variety X admitting a surjective morphism $X \to B$ over a curve whose general fiber F is described as in Proposition 2.4. If F is an Enriques surface or an algebraic K3 surface, then X_0 is already projective by Theorem 3.1. When F is a non-algebraic K3 surface or a 2-torus, some bimeromorphic model of X has a locally trivial algebraic approximation by Proposition 4.7.

In the case where F is an abelian surface or a bielliptic surface, we conclude as follows. Since the singularities of X are terminal and $\dim X = 3$, the variety X has only isolated singularities. So up to some bimeromorphic modifications of fibers of $X \to B$, we may assume that X is smooth so that any small deformation of X is still a Kähler manifold. Since the general fiber of $X \to B$ is still an abelian surface or a bielliptic surface, we can apply Theorem 1.6 or Corollary 6.2 to conclude that $X \to B$ has an arbitrarily small locally trivial deformation to a morphism $X' \to B$ with a multisection and whose fibers are algebraic. Since X' remains smooth and Kähler, X' is Moishezon by [**2**, Corollaire in p.212], therefore X' is projective. □

We can deduce Corollary 1.5 from Theorem 1.3 together with the following result due to Kollár and Mori.

Theorem 7.1. — *Let X be a non-uniruled compact Kähler variety of dimension* 3 *with at worst terminal singularities. If X admits an algebraic approximation, then every minimal compact Kähler variety of dimension* 3 *bimeromorphic to X also has an algebraic approximation.*

Proof. — Let $\pi : \mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ be a flat deformation of $X = \mathscr{X}_o := \pi^{-1}(o)$ such that there exists a sequence of points $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ in Δ parameterizing algebraic fibers and converging to o. By [**23**, Theorem 12.4.4], since π has projective fibers arbitrarily closed to $\mathscr{X}_o$, up to shrinking $\Delta \ni o$ there exists a flat family $\mathscr{Y} \to \Delta$ with relatively nef $K_{\mathscr{Y}/\Delta}$ and a bimeromorphic map $f : \mathscr{X} \dashrightarrow \mathscr{Y}$ over Δ whose restriction $f_p : \mathscr{X}_p \dashrightarrow \mathscr{Y}_p$ to each fiber is the composition of divisorial contractions of extremal rays and flips. Thus the Kähler variety $Y := \mathscr{Y}_o$ is a minimal model of X which is the limit of the sequence of projective varieties $(\mathscr{Y}_{t_n})_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$.

Now let Y' be another minimal variety bimeromorphic to X (hence to Y). By [**21**, Theorem 4.9], there exists a bimeromorphic map $g : Y \dashrightarrow Y'$ which is a composition of flops. By [**23**, Theorem 12.6.2, Remark 12.6.3], up to shrinking $\Delta \ni o$ there exists a flat deformation $\mathscr{Y}' \to \Delta$ of Y' and a bimeromorphic map $\mathscr{Y} \to \mathscr{Y}'$ over Δ extending g. Hence Y' is the limit of the sequence of projective varieties $(\mathscr{Y}'_{t_n})_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.5. — Combining Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 7.1 yields immediately Corollary 1.5. □

It is recently proven that the fundamental group of any smooth compact Kähler threefold can be realized as the fundamental group of some projective variety [**9**, Theorem 1.2]. In the case where $\kappa = 1$, [**9**, Theorem 1.2] is now a corollary of Theorem 1.3. This kind of application to the Kähler groups has already been mentioned in [**13**].

Corollary 7.2. — *The fundamental group of any compact Kähler threefold with $\kappa = 1$ can be realized as the fundamental group of some smooth projective variety.*

Proof. — Let X be a compact Kähler threefold with $\kappa = 1$. By Theorem 1.3, there exists a bimeromorphic modification X' of X with at worst terminal singularities admitting a locally trivial deformation to some projective variety Y. Since fibers of a locally trivial family are homeomorphic to each other and since the fundamental group is invariant under bimeromorphic modifications allowing at worst terminal singularities [**32**], we conclude that $\pi_1(X) \simeq \pi_1(X') \simeq \pi_1(Y)$. □

Another application of Theorem 1.3 concerns the Hodge numbers.

Corollary 7.3. — *Let X and X' be two smooth Kähler minimal models of dimension* 3 *with $\kappa = 1$. If X and X' are bimeromorphic, then they have the same Hodge numbers.*

Proof. — Let $\mathscr{X} \to \Delta$ be a small deformation of X to some projective variety Y. By assumption, the bimeromorphic map $X \dashrightarrow X'$ is the composition of a series of flops. Applying [**23**, Theorem 12.6.2, Remark 12.6.3] as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, up to shrinking Δ, there exist a deformation $\mathscr{X}' \to \Delta$ of X' and a bimeromorphic map $\phi : \mathscr{X} \dashrightarrow \mathscr{X}'$ over Δ extending $X \dashrightarrow X'$. Let Y' be the image of Y under ϕ. Since Y (resp. Y') is a small deformation of X (resp. X'), we have $h^{p,q}(X) = h^{p,q}(Y)$ (resp. $h^{p,q}(X') = h^{p,q}(Y')$). Finally as birational smooth projective minimal models have the same Hodge numbers [**19, 36**], we conclude that $h^{p,q}(X) = h^{p,q}(X')$. □

8 Appendix : A lemma about the Grothendieck spectral sequences

Let $F : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ be a left-exact functor of small abelian categories. We assume that $\mathscr{A}$ has enough injectives.

Lemma 8.1. — *Let*

$$0 \to L^\bullet \to M^\bullet \to N^\bullet \to 0 \tag{8.1}$$

be a short exact sequence of bounded complexes, which induces the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^{q-1}(L^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^{q-1}(M^\bullet) \to H^{q-1}(N^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^q(L^\bullet) \to H^q(M^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^q(N^\bullet) \to \cdots \tag{8.2}$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R^pF(H^q(M^\bullet)) & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad d_2 \qquad\qquad} & & R^{p+2}F(H^{q-1}(M^\bullet)) \\ {\scriptstyle h}\uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ R^pF(\ker(\psi)) & \xrightarrow{f} & R^{p+1}F(\mathrm{Im}(\rho)) & \xrightarrow{g} & R^{p+2}F(\mathrm{coker}(\phi)) \end{array} \tag{8.3}$$

is commutative where the morphism in the top row is the d_2 map of the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^pF(H^q(M^\bullet)) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}F(M^\bullet),$$

and the bottom row consists of connecting morphisms of the exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathrm{coker}(\phi) \to H^{q-1}(N^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Im}(\rho) \to 0 \tag{8.4}$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{Im}(\rho) \to H^q(L^\bullet) \to \ker(\psi) \to 0. \tag{8.5}$$

Proof. — Let

$$0 \to (L^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1, \delta_2) \to (M^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1, \delta_2) \to (N^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1, \delta_2) \to 0 \tag{8.6}$$

be an exact sequence of Cartan-Eilenberg resolutions extending (8.1). We define $I^{\bullet,\bullet} := F(L^{\bullet,\bullet})$, $J^{\bullet,\bullet} := F(M^{\bullet,\bullet})$ and $K^{\bullet,\bullet} := F(N^{\bullet,\bullet})$. Since there will be no ambiguity, let δ_i also denote $F(\delta_i)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\psi)^\bullet &:= \ker\left(H^q(M^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1) \to H^q(N^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1)\right), \\ \mathrm{Im}(\delta)^\bullet &:= \mathrm{Im}\left(H^{q-1}(N^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1) \to H^q(L^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1)\right), \\ \mathrm{coker}(\phi)^\bullet &:= \mathrm{coker}\left(H^{q-1}(L^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1) \to H^{q-1}(M^{\bullet,\bullet}, \delta_1)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which are injective resolutions of $\ker(\psi)$, $\mathrm{Im}(\delta)$ and $\mathrm{coker}(\phi)$ respectively.

The map $g \circ f$ is constructed as follows. Let $\alpha \in R^pF(\ker(\psi))$ which is represented by some element $\alpha_0 \in F(\ker(\psi)^p)$. By the right-exactness of (8.5), α_0 is further represented by some element $\tilde{\alpha}$ in the image of the monomorphism $I^{q,p} \to J^{q,p}$. By definition, $f(\alpha)$ is represented by some element in $F(\mathrm{Im}(\rho)^{p+1})$ which is further represented by $\delta_2^{q,p}(\tilde{\alpha})$ as an element in $I^{q,p+1}$. Now by the right-exactness of (8.4), there exists $\beta_0 \in K^{q-1,p+1}$ which represents a pre-image of the class of $\delta_2^{q,p}(\tilde{\alpha})$ in $H^q(I^{\bullet,p+1}, \delta_1)$ under the morphism $H^{q-1}(K^{\bullet,p+1}, \delta_1) \to H^q(I^{\bullet,p+1}, \delta_1)$. Since $J^{q-1,p+1} \to K^{q-1,p+1}$ is surjective, we can find $\beta \in J^{q-1,p+1}$ mapping to $\beta_0 \in K^{q-1,p+1}$. Again by definition, the class of $\delta_2^{q-1,p+1}(\beta)$ in $F(\mathrm{coker}(\phi)^{p+2})$ is an element representing $(g \circ f)(\alpha)$.

Next we recall the construction of the map d_2. Let

$$\alpha \in R^pF(H^q(M^\bullet)) \simeq H^p\left(H^q(J^{q,p}, \delta_1), \delta_2\right)$$

which is represented by $\tilde{\alpha} \in J^{q,p}$. Since $\delta_2^{q,p}(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$ in $H^q(J^{\bullet,p+1}, \delta_1)$ there exists $\beta \in J^{q-1,p+1}$ such that

$$\delta_1^{q-1,p+1}(\beta) = \delta_2^{q,p}(\tilde{\alpha}) \in J^{q,p+1}.$$

Let $\beta' := \delta_2^{q-1,p+1}(\beta)$. Note that

$$\delta_1^{q-1,p+2}(\beta') = \delta_2^{q,p+1}\delta_1^{q-1,p+1}(\beta) = \delta_2^{q,p+1}\delta_2^{q,p}(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0,$$

we have $\beta' \in \ker \delta_1^{q-1,p+2}$. Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be the class of β' in $H^{q-1}(K^{\bullet,p+2}, \delta_1)$. Since

$$\delta_2^{q-1,p+2}(\beta') = \delta_2^{q-1,p+2}\delta_2^{q-1,p+1}(\beta) = 0,$$

we have

$$\tilde{\beta} \in \ker\left(\delta_2 : H^{q-1}(J^{\bullet,p+2}, \delta_1) \to H^{q-1}(J^{\bullet,p+3}, \delta_1)\right),$$

and $d_2(\alpha)$ is defined to be the class of $\tilde{\beta}$ in $R^{p-1}F(H^{q+2}(M^\bullet)) \simeq H^{p-1}\left(H^{q+2}(J^{q+2,p-1}, \delta_1), \delta_2\right)$.

The construction of $d_2(\alpha)$ is independent of the choices of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and β. If $\alpha = h(\alpha')$ for some $\alpha \in R^pF(\ker(\psi))$, then we can choose the same $\tilde{\alpha}$ and β as in the construction of $(g \circ f)$. Therefore $(g \circ f)(\alpha')$ and $(d_2 \circ h)(\alpha')$ are both represented by $\delta_2^{q-1,p+1}(\beta)$, which proves that (8.3) is commutative.

□

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to F. Campana, B. Claudon, F. Gounelas, A. Höring, D. Huybrechts, D. Morrison, and U. Persson for fruitful discussions/e-mail correspondences and helpful remarks related to this work. He was supported by the SFB 647 : "Raum - Zeit - Materie. Analytische und Geometrische Strukturen".

References

[1] N. Buchdahl. Algebraic deformations of compact Kähler surfaces II. *Math. Z.*, 258 :493–498, 2008.

[2] F. Campana. Coréduction algébrique d'un espace analytique faiblement kählérien compact. *Invent. Math.*, 63(2) :187–223, 1981.

[3] F. Campana. Réduction algébrique d'un morphisme faiblement Kählérien propre et applications. *Math. Ann.*, 256(2) :157–189, 1981.

[4] F. Campana. Réduction d'Albanèse d'un morphisme propre et faiblement kählérien. I. *Compositio Math.*, 54(3) :373–398, 1985.

[5] F. Campana. Isotrivialité de certaines familles kählériennes de variétés non projectives. *Math. Z.*, 252 :147–156, 2006.

[6] F. Campana, A. Höring, and T. Peternell. Abundance for Kähler threefolds. *Annales de l'ENS*, 49 :969–1023, 2016.

[7] F. Campana and T. Peternell. Complex threefolds with non-trivial holomorphic 2-forms. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 9(2) :223–264, 2000.

[8] B. Claudon. Smooth family of tori and linear Kähler groups. To appear in Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse (arXiv :1604.03367v2), 2016.

[9] B. Claudon and A. Höring. The fundamental group of compact Kähler threefolds, 2016.

[10] P. Deligne. *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.

[11] R. Friedman and D. R. Morrison. The birational geometry of degenerations : an overview. In *The birational geometry of degenerations (Cambridge, Mass., 1981)*, volume 29 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–32. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1983.

[12] A. Fujiki. Relative algebraic reduction and relative Albanese map for a fiber space in $\mathscr{C}$. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 19(1) :207–236, 1983.

[13] P. Graf. Algebraic approximation of Kähler threefolds of Kodaira dimension zero, arXiv :1601.04307, 2016.

[14] P. Griffiths. *Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry*, volume 106 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 1984.

[15] R. Hartshorne. *Local cohomology*, volume 1961 of *A seminar given by A. Grothendieck, Harvard University, Fall*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.

[16] A. Höring and T. Peternell. Minimal models for Kähler threefolds. *Invent. Math.*, 203(1) :217–264, 2016.

[17] D. Huybrechts. *Lectures on K3 surfaces*, volume 158 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.

[18] A. Höring and T. Peternell. Bimeromorphic geometry of kähler threefolds, 2016. Preprint on webpage at `http://math.unice.fr/~hoering/articles/a30-kaehler-survey.pdf`.

[19] T. Ito. Birational smooth minimal models have equal Hodge numbers in all dimensions. In *Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry (Toronto, ON, 2001)*, volume 38 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 183–194. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[20] K. Kodaira. On compact analytic surfaces. II, III. *Ann. of Math. (2) 77 (1963), 563–626 ; ibid.*, 78 :1–40, 1963.

[21] J. Kollár. Flops. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 113 :15–36, 1989.

[22] J. Kollár. *Singularities of the minimal model program*, volume 200 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács.

[23] J. Kollár and S. Mori. Classification of three-dimensional flips. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(3) :533–703, 1992.

[24] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.

[25] Vik. S. Kulikov. Degenerations of $K3$ surfaces and Enriques surfaces. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 41(5) :1008–1042, 1199, 1977.

[26] D. I. Lieberman. Compactness of the Chow scheme : applications to automorphisms and deformations of Kähler manifolds. In *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, III (Sém. François Norguet, 1975–1977)*, volume 670 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 140–186. Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[27] B. G. Moishezon. Singular Kählerian spaces. In *Manifolds—Tokyo 1973 (Proc. Internat. Conf., Tokyo, 1973)*, pages 343–351. Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1975.

[28] G. Mongardi. *Automorphisms of Hyperkähler manifolds*. PhD thesis, Università degli Studi di Roma Tre, 2013.

[29] U. Persson. On degenerations of algebraic surfaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(189) :xv+144, 1977.

[30] U. Persson and H. Pinkham. Degeneration of surfaces with trivial canonical bundle. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 113(1) :45–66, 1981.

[31] F. Schrack. Algebraic approximation of Kähler threefolds. *Math. Nachr.*, 285(11-12) :1486–1499, 2012.

[32] S. Takayama. Local simple connectedness of resolutions of log-terminal singularities. *Internat. J. Math.*, 14(8) :825–836, 2003.

[33] C. Voisin. *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*, volume 77 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[34] C. Voisin. On the homotopy types of compact Kähler and complex projective manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 157 :329–343, 2004.

[35] C. Voisin. On the homotopy types of Kähler manifolds and the birational Kodaira problem. *J. Differential Geom.*, 72(1) :43–71, 2006.

[36] C.-L. Wang. Cohomology theory in birational geometry. *J. Differential Geom.*, 60(2) :345–354, 2002.

[37] S. Zucker. Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients. L_2 cohomology in the Poincaré metric. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 109(3) :415–476, 1979.

Hsueh-Yung Lin, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489 Berlin, Germany
E-mail : `linhsueh@math.hu-berlin.de`